Journal of Engineering Education Transformations, Volume 39, No 3, Month 2026, ISSN 2349-2473, eISSN 2394-1707

10.16920/jeet/2026/v39i3/26081

Nurturing Biodiversity Education: Weaving Sustainability Threads with a Tech-Forward Approach

[1]Poornesh M
[1]Department of Mechanical Engineering, St Joseph Engineering College, Vamanjoor, Mangaluru
[1] poorneshsjec@gmail.com

***Abstract*— This paper investigates the potential of technology to transform biodiversity education, in alignment with the UN's Sustainable Development Goal 4: Quality Education. It explores how immersive learning experiences, facilitated by tools such as simulations and mobile apps, can revolutionize student engagement. The paper emphasizes the role of digital content in clarifying complex ecological concepts, while also highlighting how citizen science projects and data analysis activities can leverage technology to foster student participation. Practical solutions are proposed for integrating technology into curriculums, empowering educators to address environmental challenges. Through a comprehensive assessment using case studies and mixed-method analysis (quantitative and qualitative), this paper evaluates the effectiveness of technology in enhancing learning outcomes. Ultimately, it argues that technology has the potential to transform biodiversity education, fostering ongoing research collaboration and serving as a valuable tool to achieve curriculum goals for a more environmentally conscious future.**

***Keywords*— Digital Pedagogy; Ecological Literacy; Environmental Conservation; Learning Innovations; Quality Education; Technology Integration.**

***JEET Category*—Research**

I. INTRODUCTION

THE various species of plants, animals, and microorganisms and the intricate relationship between them, which is often referred to as Biodiversity, are the threads that maintain the ecological balance of the planet (Peter et al., 2021a; Yli-Panula et al., 2018a). The sustainability of all forms of life, including that of humans, is highly dependent on this interconnected system. In a time when the world is facing enormous environmental challenges, it is important to preserve biodiversity (Audrin, 2023; Kamudu et al., n.d.; Peter et al., 2021a). To achieve this initiative, biodiversity education can play a critical role, as it helps provide people with the right information and comprehension to deal with the ongoing environmental crisis (Akindele et al., 2021; Kopnina et al., 2022).

The field of education has seen a progressive transformation in recent decades due to the continuous advancement of technology. Digital tools which were once perceived as emerging technologies are now at the center of teaching and learning (Haleem, Javaid, Qadri, et al., 2022a; Rodríguez-Loinaz & Palacios-Agundez, 2024; Taimur & Onuki, 2022). This paper focuses on how technology can be implemented to incorporate biodiversity into education and create new pedagogies.

In alignment with [SDG 4: Quality Education], the main focus of the paper is to give an in-depth analysis of the interrelationship between technology and biodiversity. The progression of technology in education, various digital tools for students and faculty, and various pedagogies are discussed. Additionally, the probable challenges faced when including biodiversity in technical education along with the measures that can be taken will be investigated.

The following section of the article, will take a further look at the world of technology, unveiling a wide spectrum of digital technologies that can be used to enhance knowledge of biodiversity. With the help of digital tools, the students can virtually explore rainforests, go through extensive datasets, and discover recent trends in biodiversity from the comfort of their classrooms.

II. BIODIVERSITY EDUCATION: CONTEXT AND SIGNIFICANCE

Biodiversity, which is also known as the “web of life” or the “variety of life”, is the building block in the realm of ecology and biology (Eshun et al., 2022; Petrescu-Mag et al., 2024; Ulbrich et al., 2010). It is made up of every life form on earth, including a wide spectrum of various species, their genetic variations, and the intricate ecosystem they build. By playing a crucial role in maintaining the ecosystem and sustainability, the area of Biodiversity is an important area for education.

The concept of Biodiversity can be comprehended into three stages:

i. Genetic Diversity: The first stage deals with the variation in the genes within different kinds of species. It talks about how there exist different types of genes in a single type of plant or

Poornesh M
Department of Mechanical Engineering, St Joseph Engineering College, Karnataka, India.
poorneshsjec@gmail.com

Journal of Engineering Education Transformations, Volume 39, No 3, Month 2026, ISSN 2349-2473, eISSN 2394-1707

animal, and also how these genes ensure that they are not the same when compared with other plants or animals (Blanco-Zaitegi et al., 2022; Eshun et al., 2022). It includes the aspect of diversity of genes as well as their different forms which are called alleles, that ensure the group of plants and animals can survive the changes in the environmental conditions (DeWoody et al., 2021; Eshun et al., 2022; Hoban et al., 2023).

ii. Species Diversity: This stage of diversity deals with studying the different kinds of species, both animals and plants alike, that exist in each area, along with the count of various kinds (Díaz et al., 2020; Nizamani et al., 2021). It takes into account all the different kinds of species and their contribution towards the balance of the ecosystem (Methorst et al., 2021).

iii. Ecosystem Diversity: The last stage takes into account the variation in the ecosystem, such as forests, wetlands, coral reefs, and grasslands. It also considers, the relationships that exist within these systems (Hong et al., 2022; Maas et al., 2021).

Biodiversity keeps changing and growing over time. It is highly influenced by gradual changes due to natural events such as evolution in animals and also due to man-made changes like deforestation and pollution (Eliasson et al., 2023; Viedra & Sukojo, 2023). The loss of biodiversity due to natural events as well as human destruction, has significant ecological, economic, and ethical consequences.

Biodiversity plays a crucial role in maintaining the longevity and sustainability of the ecosystem and its importance cannot be overstated. Biodiversity lays a foundation for a variety of services within the ecosystem that are crucial for the well-being of humans. Some of these services include:

i. Pollination: Agriculture benefits largely from biodiversity, especially when it comes to a wide variety of pollinator species like bees and butterflies, which assist in the pollination of crops. Food production would be seriously affected if this service is impaled (Millard et al., 2021; Scheper et al., 2023).

ii. Water Purification: The purification of water largely depends on aquatic ecosystems like wetlands and forests, which eliminate pollutants through filtration. The presence of various species in the ecosystem increases the effectiveness of delivering clean water (Lynch et al., 2023; Piaggio & Siikamäki, 2021).

iii. Climate Regulation: Carbon dioxide, a greenhouse gas that is a major reason for climate change, can be absorbed and stored in forests and oceans making them one of the most significant components. The diversity present in this ecosystem increases its ability to capture and store carbon effectively (Crenna et al., 2019; Kedward et al., 2023).

iv. Disease Control: The existence of various species in ecosystems, due to biodiversity, helps in managing pathogenic organisms thus ensuring ecological balance (Benson et al., 2023).

The ecosystem services will be negatively impacted if there is any disruption to this existing diversity, which can lead to catastrophic disturbances in the ecology as well as to human beings. This relationship between biodiversity and the services of the ecosystem emphasizes on the need to preserve biodiversity.

Biodiversity education plays a crucial role in dealing with these environmental issues. It supports awareness about environmental issues and their conservation through a variety of ways.

i. Awareness and Knowledge: Biodiversity education helps impart knowledge about the relationship between various species of life on Earth. It provides a detailed explanation of different kinds of animals, plants, and organisms, along with their genetic variation (Hoban et al., 2022; Abirami et al., 2023). By doing so, it ensures that individuals understand how everything in nature is connected.

ii. Environmental Stewardship: When individuals are taught about biodiversity as part of their curriculum, it develops a sense of responsibility to safeguard the environment (McCarthy & Russo, 2023). When people understand the need for biodiversity, it encourages them to actively work towards conserving it.

iii. Informed Decision-Making: Educating people about biodiversity ensures that they have a clear understanding of nature and how their actions can positively or negatively affect it. The education people receive will give them the information needed to make informed choices from their daily habits to making rules to protect the environment (Schneiderhan-Opel & Bogner, 2020).

iv. Scientific Research and Conservation: Education can act as a catalyst in inspiring youth to become scientists and conservationists who can actively participate in research on biodiversity and find new ways to conserve and protect it (Gaillet et al., 2022; Katili et al., 2021).

v. Cultural and Ethical Value: Apart from its ecological and economic role, biodiversity is also important in imparting cultural and ethical values (Karlsson & Edvardsson Björnberg, 2021). Through education, people learn to value the importance of all life forms existing in nature, thus developing empathy and respect towards it.

In summary, biodiversity education plays a crucial role in instilling a sense of respect towards nature. Through this, people gain enough knowledge and information to tackle the urgency of environmental issues and work towards a sustainable planet. In the further sections of the paper, an attempt is made to understand how technology plays an important role in enhancing the influence of biodiversity education making sure it has an overall impact by being more engaging and effective.

III. Technology Tools for Biodiversity Education

In the continuously changing scenario of biodiversity education, technology plays a crucial role as it helps in simplifying the complicated world of ecosystems, species, and their interactions, making it easier for us to understand (Gaillet et al., 2022; Haleem, Javaid, Qadri, et al., 2022b). In the past few years, there has been a lot of transformation in education due to the emergence of new tools and platforms that have enriched the teaching and learning process, thus helping educators to explain the concept of biodiversity more innovatively (Zhang Yiand Huang 2023; Haleem, Javaid, Qadri, et al. 2022b).

i. Interactive Simulations and Virtual Labs: Interactive

Journal of Engineering Education Transformations, Volume 39, No 3, Month 2026, ISSN 2349-2473, eISSN 2394-1707

simulations and virtual labs are one-of-a-kind new-age digital tools that allow students to learn and actively engage themselves in various subjects. Regarding biodiversity education, these tools can offer experiential learning by allowing students to explore ecological concepts through a digital environment (Hardisty et al., 2016).

The Virtual Ecology Lab (VEL), an innovative tool by Utah State University explores several interactive simulations that provide a better understanding of a wide array of ecological concepts. For example, a simulation of VEL, named "Predator-Prey Dynamics" talks about the relationship between predators and prey within a digitally created ecosystem (Andrade et al., 2020). Compared to traditional static classroom models that often rely on textbook illustrations or lectures, these simulations provide a deeper, hands-on understanding of complex ecological interactions. (Y. Zhang et al., 2022).

Another notable example is PhET Interactive Simulations, which is widely utilized to teach ecological and environmental science concepts. This tool promotes active engagement, in contrast to traditional approaches where students may passively absorb content, and it offers measurable improvements in knowledge retention and application (Perkins, 2020).

ii. Mobile Apps for Species Identification: Mobile apps use modern machine learning technology to identify the species of plants and animals. They are user-friendly tools that help individuals capture the image of various organisms on their smartphones or tablets and then use image recognition features to obtain complete details of the species(Karunathilake et al., 2023). This app provides an interactive platform for people to learn about various species in nature (C.-J. Chen et al., 2020).

Seek is an app created by iNaturalist that incorporates image recognition technology with the expertise of naturalists and scientists on a global level (Shem Unger Mark Rollins & Dumais, 2021). When encountering a new class of organisms, the user simply needs to click and upload an image of the organism, and the app will provide real-time information about it. The main objective of the app is to encourage users to explore their local ecological systems, thus providing valuable information for scientific research and helping in the conservation of the system (Mesaglio & Callaghan, 2021; Nugent, 2018).

Another application, PlantSnap, has been integrated into educational curricula to enhance the teaching of botany. Educators have observed its effectiveness in making field trips more interactive by enabling students to instantly identify and document species. This represents a significant improvement over the traditional reliance on guidebooks (Bilyk et al., 2022).

iii. Geographic Information Systems (GIS) for Mapping Biodiversity: With respect to the concept of biodiversity, this technology helps the user to create, analyze, and visualize the habitat of a particular geographic location (Drăguleasa et al., 2023). The system combines various aspects like geographical information, ecological data, and mapping techniques, which help the researchers to gain an improved insight into the distribution of species and their contribution towards ecology across different regions (Malavasi, 2020; Y. Zhang et al., 2022). GIS tools help in assessing, mapping, and planning of species, thus helping to keep track of and observe changes over time (Grafius et al., 2019; Malavasi, 2020).

To create a map to observe the suitability of the habitat, The Nature Conservancy, which is a conservation organization, utilizes the concept of GIS technology. The maps provided thus offer the user information that is relevant to planning for conservation by identifying the important areas that require protection and restoration (Richardson et al., 2020). This information, which is available in the maps, can be used as teaching material by educators to allow the students to understand real-life conservation challenges.

Comparative Analysis of Traditional vs. Technology-Enhanced Methods:

While traditional biodiversity education methods typically rely on static resources such as textbooks, field guides, and lectures, technology-enhanced tools introduce dynamic and interactive experiences. For instance:

- Engagement: Simulations and applications actively involve students, whereas traditional methods often promote passive learning.
- Accessibility: Mobile applications expand access to biodiversity education for broader audiences, including those outside formal educational settings.
- Practicality: Geographic Information Systems (GIS) tools offer real-world application opportunities, in contrast to the theoretical exercises common in traditional classrooms.
- Retention: Interactive tools significantly improve retention rates compared to the rote memorization techniques employed in conventional teaching methods.

IV. Digital Content Creation and Biodiversity

In recent years, the concept of utilizing multimedia content in the field of education has become more meaningful as students relate to it in a better way. Digital or multimedia content in the form of videos, podcasts, and interviews, can engage students more effectively, explain concepts more simply, and give them a better appreciation for the realm of biodiversity (Kays et al., 2020; Luna Soledad and Gold, 2018). Teachers and educational institutions play an important role in creating high-quality digital media content for students so that complex topics can be absorbed by viewers from all backgrounds (Nelson & Ellis, 2019).

The importance of Multimedia Content in education is:

i. Enhancement in Engagement: The content available through multimedia creates an interactive learning environment, engaging users actively. For example, to showcase ecosystems and the relationships between species and ecosystems, well-curated video can be used to explain them effectively(Musvuugwa et al., 2021a). The active involvement of students is important for biodiversity education as it helps increase participation and curiosity towards the conservation of ecology.

ii. Simplifying Complex Concepts: Biodiversity consists of a wide variety of areas, such as various categories of species, genetic variations, classifications, and conservation tactics. This interconnectedness can be better explained with the help of multimedia content, which can break down these topics into

Journal of Engineering Education Transformations, Volume 39, No 3, Month 2026, ISSN 2349-2473, eISSN 2394-1707

simple storytelling through visualization (Yli-Panula et al., 2018b).

iii. Providing Real-World Context: With the help of multimedia platforms, learners can virtually explore different ecological systems from any part of the world (Joly Alexisand Goëau 2019)

iv. . Virtual field trips can be set up, for students, allowing them to explore various places like rainforests, coral reefs, and savannas.

v. Supporting Diverse Learning Styles: Every individual has a different way of understanding and learning techniques. Some prefer learning through visuals, while others choose kinesthetic methods (Irmadora et al., 2020). Multimedia content can fulfill the various learning needs of individuals, as visual learners can make use of interactive videos, auditory learners can choose podcasts, and kinesthetic learners can prefer simulations.

By utilizing multimedia content and effectively delivering it, it is possible to encourage the new generation of students to be aware of environmental changes happening all around the world and prepare them to take on ecological challenges.

V. Data Analysis and Citizen Science in Biodiversity Education

For biodiversity education, technology plays a crucial role as it has altered the point of view of students towards ecology. They have the privilege to actively involve themselves in research activities through citizen science projects (Peter et al., 2021b). The citizen science projects allow individuals from all backgrounds to participate in scientific research through data collection (Johnston et al., 2023; Pocock et al., 2018). By taking part in such research projects, students can help scientists learn more about ecology and improve it. There is a wide array of projects for students to choose from:

i. eBird: eBird is one of the citizen science projects that revolves around the collection of bird sightings around the world. By collecting information about bird sightings and submitting it to the eBird database, students and scientists can help advance avian research. The large database collected over the years has provided valuable information regarding the distribution of birds, their migration patterns, and trends (Tang et al., 2021).

ii. FrogWatch USA: This project involves students and volunteers in collecting data on frogs and toads during their breeding season. The data collected contributes greatly to learning about aquatic ecosystems and the crucial role amphibians play a crucial role in maintaining environmental health (Nemec et al., 2022; Nugent, 2018).

iii. iNaturalist: This platform is used to record observations of all species, including plants, animals, and fungi, using recent technology like image recognition. The data collected through observation aids scientists in getting a comprehensive view of species distribution and how climatic changes can influence their habitat (Nugent, 2018).

iv. Project BudBurst: The project focuses on using students as volunteers to collect information and data on plant phenology, such as leafing, flowering, and fruiting over time. This collected information helps researchers learn about plant phenology (Bison et al., 2019).

Apart from contributing to the advancement of the scientific community, these projects also have major educational benefits such as hands-on learning, increasing scientific literacy, raising awareness of the environment, and community engagement, to name a few. Through these projects, the next generation of researchers can take a step further in learning about the ecology of the planet.

VI. Challenges and Considerations in Integrating Technology into Biodiversity Education

Although the inclusion of technology can potentially improve the way biodiversity is taught, certain prevalent issues need to be addressed to ensure equal access and effective and responsible usage of digital resources (Yli-Panula et al., 2018a).

The following are certain challenges and possible strategies to mitigate them:

i. Access to Technology: Uneven access to technology and availability of quality internet connection can lead to discrepancies in the delivery of biodiversity education. Students residing in remote areas where there are limited resources will face problems gaining access to online content as well as using digital tools (Jetz et al., 2019; Musvuugwa et al., 2021b).

To overcome such disparities, the institute needs to ensure that students with poor economic backgrounds and improper internet access are provided with better access to technology. If that is not possible, then a blended approach has to be given so that the students can study through traditional classroom techniques rather than the online mode (Christothea Herodotou Nashwa Ismail & Ballard, 2023). With regards to study materials, they should be downloadable so that, they can still be accessed when there is limited access to the internet (Caird Sallyand Roy 2019).

ii. Digital Literacy: The varying levels of digital literacy displayed by students present an array of difficulties but at the same time create an opening for personalized learning and support. Though the digital world turns out to be challenging for some, it provides them with an opportunity to address the problem at hand with new skills in tools, resource navigation, and critical information thinking (Nawawi & Priyani, 2023). Understanding this variance becomes crucial when comprehensive strategies are being developed to address the gap in digital literacy (Queiruga-Dios et al., 2020).

As a potential solution to tackle the problem where individuals face difficulties navigating the digital realm, integrating the concepts of digital literacy into the biodiversity curriculum is the prominent solution (Hitchcock et al., 2021). By incorporating the concept of digital literacy into the curriculum, it is possible to guide the students to use technology responsibly thus improving their ability to assess online information and cultivating a habit of maintaining digital privacy (Gough, 2021). By applying this strategy, it is possible to improve digital literacy and enhance the overall learning experience.

At the same time, it becomes imperative to offer professional development courses to the faculty since they have a key role in implementing the strategy. If the skills of the teachers are

Journal of Engineering Education Transformations, Volume 39, No 3, Month 2026, ISSN 2349-2473, eISSN 2394-1707

improved it enables them to guide the students through the intricate web of the online world, thereby creating a supportive learning atmosphere (Gough, 2021; Hitchcock et al., 2021). Thus, implementing these strategies will eventually help in bridging the gap in digital literacy, making learning more impactful.

iii. Data Privacy and Security: Understanding the need for data privacy and security from the point of view of biodiversity education becomes necessary as it gives insight into challenges posed by the collection and sharing of biodiversity data online by students (Sills et al., 2018). So, it is clear that effective strategies need to be curated to deal with the concerns. The first step would be to include the concepts of data ethics in the curriculum. By making the students realize the importance of handling the data responsibly, respecting privacy, and importance of seeking permission before collecting the data, they are trained to have an ethical approach in dealing with any data relating to biodiversity (König Christian AND Weigelt, 2019).

Furthermore, selecting an appropriate online platform also plays an important role. It is imperative to select a platform that will put data security and privacy first. This approach safeguards the information collected by students which builds an environment that is trustworthy and transparent for them to engage in their biodiversity studies (Franz & Sterner, 2018). These approaches will help contribute to a more responsible atmosphere for the usage of digital tools within the realm of biodiversity education.

iv. Pedagogical Integration: Due to its complex nature, including technology in education becomes a tedious task as it requires extensive planning to align with the principles of pedagogy. One of the major roadblocks is the difficulty the teachers face in shifting from their traditional teaching to digital teaching techniques (Oai & Hoi, 2019). The best solution to overcome this hurdle is to provide the teachers with training sessions through faculty development programs. If the teachers are trained and given sufficient support, then it will boost the skills and confidence required by them to conduct the classes more effectively by aligning the learning objectives with the inclusion of technology(Ryan M. Katz-Rosene & Paterson, 2021).

Additionally, allowing the faculties to collaborate with others is beneficial, as it helps in knowledge transfer and thus creates new ideas to effortlessly include technology in teaching (Monte & Reis, 2021). Thus, the idea of collaboration not only reduces the stress faced by individuals but also helps in creating an environment where faculty support each other by sharing ideas and brainstorming to navigate through the intricate web of technology and pedagogy.

v. Connectivity in Remote Areas: A well-designed strategy is required to face the challenges that arise due to the limitation of internet access in remote areas. In areas where the usage of online resources as well as the conduction of any activities that require an internet connection is limited, using offline resources is the best possible tactic(Graves et al., 2021). Since the offline educational resources are developed by the faculty, they can be distributed to individuals who have poor access to technology so that learning can be a continuous process.

It is also ideal to have a good relationship with local organizations and libraries that may have a reliable source of the Internet as it makes it possible for individuals to access resources and gain knowledge (Devkota, 2021; Kapilan et al., 2021). Apart from ensuring that educational resources reach the needy, this partnership helps in improving internet connectivity in the area by creating a network with the locals.

VII. Measuring the Impact of Technology in Biodiversity Education

While determining the impact of technology-infused biodiversity education, it is necessary to take into account information from both quantitative and qualitative assessment tools so that a comprehensive evaluation can be done. Thus, creating a robust framework for evaluation will allow both teachers and researchers to assess the effectiveness of the impact of technology on teaching and learning (Raja & Nagasubramani, 2018; C. Zhang et al., 2022).

A. Quantitative Assessment Tools

i. Pre and post-tests: It is one of the most robust techniques to assess the impact of the inclusion of technology in education. In this method, assessments are conducted and monitored before and after the integration of technology to evaluate the effectiveness of knowledge gain and retention among the students (Mukherjee, 2010). A comprehensive analysis of the test scores is essential to obtain insight into the gaps that can be bridged which is required to improve student learning and thus the overall effectiveness (Alessandri et al., 2017; Goodman et al., 2012). The pre- and post-tests were developed in collaboration with subject matter experts to ensure alignment with curriculum objectives. The questions were designed to assess not only factual recall but also higher-order thinking skills related to biodiversity.

In experimental research conducted by Andrej Somrak et al (Somrak et al., 2021), pre and post-tests were conducted to evaluate the effectiveness of including virtual reality (VR) to teach certain concepts related to biodiversity. Before the application of the VR module, the students were subjected to a pre-test which gave insight into their grasp of the topic under consideration. It was then followed by sessions on the topic with the implementation of the VR module and a post-test to assess the knowledge gained. The result of the analysis showed that there was a considerable improvement in the test scores as well as the understanding of students related to the subject, proving that there was a positive impact because of the inclusion of the VR module in teaching. Thus, it can be said that this technique gives a structured and quantifiable way of measuring the impact of the integration of technology in education.

ii. Usage Analytics: Usage analytics is one of the noted techniques that can be employed to evaluate the effectiveness of including technology by analyzing the patterns of digital tools and online resources used by students (Saqr, 2017). This method provides quantifiable data that points to the effectiveness with which technology is implemented in the

Journal of Engineering Education Transformations, Volume 39, No 3, Month 2026, ISSN 2349-2473, eISSN 2394-1707

education system. Data related to the amount of time spent on each platform, user engagement, and completion of tasks gives substantial information on how well students use technology to learn new concepts and skills (Gadelha Jr et al., 2021; Turner et al., 2019). To enhance the understanding of student behavior, additional metrics, including click-stream data, the number of completed tasks, and the time spent on specific modules, were employed to analyze student interactions with digital tools. This comprehensive analysis enables educators to identify areas where students may be facing challenges and to provide timely interventions.

A study conducted by Juan S. Acero Triana et al (Acero Triana et al., 2021) illustrates how usage analytics was used to assess the impact of online biodiversity education. The exhaustive analysis of the data exhibited an increase in user engagement, thus making it clear that there was positive interaction by students with the digital tool. This method not only provides data regarding how many students have participated but also provides information such as which applications students find useful, allowing teachers to use the right tools to disseminate information.

iii. Surveys and Questionnaires: To measure the perspectives of students, their attitudes, and satisfaction about the usage of technology-based education, surveys, and questionnaires turn out to be the best-suited techniques (Schwarz, 2007). Structured surveys with Likert-scale responses are used, which give quantitative values from the survey, thus giving us students' perspectives (Bishop & Herron, 2015). The surveys included customized questions designed to capture students' learning outcomes specifically related to biodiversity concepts and their interaction with technological tools. A pilot test was conducted to validate the reliability and relevance of the questions prior to their administration.

For example, in a study performed by Kathryn E. Darras et al (Darras et al., 2019a), a survey was conducted to evaluate student perception of using mobile-based applications for the identification of various species related to biodiversity education. The quantitative data was subjected to Likert-scale analysis to measure the satisfaction level of the students, which provided information such as the level of impact of application usage, user experiences, and effectiveness. This technique allows teachers and researchers to understand the feedback given by students so that it can be analyzed to enhance the overall learning experience.

B. Qualitative Assessment Tools

i. Interviews and Focus Groups: This approach is considered to be one of the best techniques to qualitatively assess the interrelationship of student experience, attitude, and concerns regarding the usage of technology in biodiversity education scenarios (Darras et al., 2019b; Sim & Waterfield, 2019). Here, the participants or students are made to participate in detailed interviews, and group discussions, which give them a chance to share their personal experiences and perspectives about the underlying subject (Barrett & Twycross, 2018; O.Nyumba et al., 2018). Participants for interviews and focus groups were selected based on diversity in demographic backgrounds, academic performance levels, and experience with technological tools to ensure a comprehensive range of insights. Standardized interview protocols were developed to include open-ended questions that explored both the students' learning experiences and their perceived challenges and benefits associated with the use of technology.

Muhamad Ikhsan Sahal Guntur et al., (Guntur et al., 2020) have illustrated the results of conducting intensive interviews with students to obtain their opinion about using augmented reality (AR) in education. It was revealed from the qualitative analysis of the interviews that the students had better engagement with the subject topics, which helped them gain a better understanding of various ecological concepts. Interviews and focus groups reveal the exact emotions the participants go through and honest opinions that cannot be obtained through quantitative data. This method gives an overall understanding of how the inclusion of technology influences the learning process, the difficulties new learners face, the advantages students get, and various other valuable parameters that are sufficient to make the learning process even better.

ii. Content Analysis: To analyze the data related to the hands-on student experience with technology-induced educational practices, content analysis is one of the suitable methodologies (Gaur & Kumar, 2018; Piaskoski et al., 2020; Renz et al., 2018). In this method, the content developed by the students such as projects, reports, blogs, and other presentations are subjected to extensive scrutiny, thus providing them with a qualitative assessment pertaining to the usage of technology in the learning process (Simblett Saraand Greer 2018; Renz, Carrington, and Badger 2018). For this assessment, student-generated content was evaluated utilizing a rubric that emphasized critical thinking, creativity, and the depth of knowledge demonstrated. Independent raters were employed to ensure the consistency and reliability of the analysis.

For example, in work done by Florian Findler et al. the concept of content analysis is applied to assess the content developed by the students. After the rigorous analysis, the teachers provided the students with suggestions and evaluated them qualitatively. The analysis gave the teachers insight into how well the students have learned to use technology and other tools to learn the concepts and to present their learning within the scope of the subject. Thus, it can be said that content analysis is a tool to understand the ability of the students to effectively use digital tools to learn the subject and create content using them, showing how effective the inclusion of tools can be in enhancing their learning skills.

iii. Observations: Among the various tools available to obtain information about the usage of technology in education by directly interacting with the students, observations stand out as one of the most compelling techniques (Ciesielska Malgorzataand Boström 2018). In this method, the students are approached directly to observe their engagement, hands-on application of the tools, and their ability to collaborate both in the classroom and online learning environment. Not only does observation provide insight into the usage of technology in learning, but it also gives minor information regarding body language, passion, and collaboration (Amin et al., 2020;

Journal of Engineering Education Transformations, Volume 39, No 3, Month 2026, ISSN 2349-2473, eISSN 2394-1707

FitzPatrick, 2019). Observation sessions were conducted according to a structured protocol that included predefined criteria, such as student interactions with the tools, levels of engagement, and frequency of collaboration. Data were recorded via video and transcriptions for subsequent analysis, ensuring objectivity and consistency in the evaluation process. In order to evaluate the impact of virtual field trips to see student engagement, Kun-Hung Cheng et al. (Cheng & Tsai, 2019) applied the concept of observations. Through their interaction with the students, the researchers concluded that there was increased enthusiasm among the students, which eventually led to active participation and better learning. This methodology allows the researchers to evaluate the immediate and real-time experience of the students and provide teachers with data so that they can refine and optimize the usage of technology while teaching.

C. Integrated Assessment Tools

i. Mixed-Method approaches: In this technique, a robust methodology is employed by combining both qualitative and quantitative methods to evaluate the impact of technology in education (Hamad et al., 2016). Case studies were selected based on a specific set of criteria, including variability in geographic contexts, the range of technologies implemented, and the level of student engagement achieved. These case studies were analyzed through data triangulation involving surveys, analytics, and interviews to obtain a comprehensive understanding. This approach combines the data obtained through various surveys, usage analytics, and intensive interviews to gain a complete understanding of the information (Dopp et al. 2019; Williams and Shepherd 2015; Shania Milaand Handayani 2023). The study done by Mila Shania et al. (Shania Milaand Handayani 2023) demonstrated the application of the approach to understanding how well gamification can be used in the curriculum of biodiversity education. By triangulating the quantitative data and the transcripts from the interviews, a comprehensive evaluation was conducted. The conducted surveys provided quantitative data with measurable values, whereas the interviews gave the real-time perspective of students. By combining both sets of data, the researchers were then able to get an overall picture of how the integration of technology affects the educational setup. This combination of methodologies gives rise to holistic evaluation to measure effectiveness in education.

ii. Longitudinal Studies: Longitudinal Studies are in-depth studies for evaluating the long-lasting effects on student learning due to the inclusion of technology (Hirschfeld et al., 2022; Tino Lesener & Wolter, 2019). Here, tests are conducted over an extended period, while meticulously noting the progress of the students, their ability to retain and replicate knowledge, and their understanding of skills pertaining to biodiversity concepts in the longer term (Nyberg et al., 2020; Vilhelmiina Harju & Pehkonen, 2019). The students included in the longitudinal studies were selected using a stratified sampling approach to ensure a diverse and representative sample across gender, academic background, and technological proficiency. Additionally, the study examined various learning environments, encompassing both classroom settings and online platforms.

In a longitudinal study conducted by Brandie D. Wagner et al. (Wagner et al., 2018) the students were subjected to the study over multiple semesters to evaluate their ability to learn with technology for a biodiversity course. The study revealed that, even though there was initial hesitation and difficulty in adjusting to technology, the students eventually picked up the pace and involved themselves deeply in learning new concepts through the usage of technology. To assess the impact, standardized assessments were administered at regular intervals, supplemented by surveys and focus group discussions to capture the evolving perspectives and experiences of students. Additionally, tracking the completion of projects and assignments over time provided quantitative data on student performance and engagement. Apart from that, the interviews and feedback sessions conducted show that the students tend to learn more effectively when they are allowed to have a more hands-on experience, which changes their educational journey over time. This technique not only gives insight into the technical aspects of students' understanding but also shows how well the students change intellectually as the integration of technology revives their knowledge and skills. Data from these longitudinal studies were triangulated with classroom observations and digital tool usage analytics to provide a comprehensive evaluation of the long-term impact of technology on biodiversity education.

VIII. Best Practices and Recommendations for Integrating Technology into Biodiversity Education

A strategic approach is essential in order to effectively integrate technology into the curriculum within the realm of biodiversity education, thus creating a need for a comprehensive list of best practices and recommended suggestions for implementation. This section sketches out fundamental principles that need to be adhered to for the successful implementation of technology and provides recommendations for teachers, institutions, and policymakers.

A. Best Practices

i. Alignment with Learning Objectives: By ensuring that the objectives of the curriculum are defined in advance, aligning technology to the concepts becomes easier and is one of the best practices to be considered in biodiversity education (Colin Loughlin & Lindberg-Sand, 2021). By doing this, technology automatically complements education rather than surpassing it, making it easier to attain the objectives. By aligning technology with objectives, teachers can strategically show the impact of digital tools in education (Wang & Li, 2022). This practice not only ensures an increase in the efficiency of technology in fulfilling learning objectives but also helps students engage themselves with new online tools, allowing them to learn new skills (Ung & Grainger, 2022).

ii. Interactive and Engaging Resources: Under any circumstances, using interactive digital tools such as simulations, virtual labs, and multimedia sources is considered a prominent best practice in the educational system (Frederick

Journal of Engineering Education Transformations, Volume 39, No 3, Month 2026, ISSN 2349-2473, eISSN 2394-1707

J. Adamand Gotensparre 2019; Yli-Panula et al. 2018c). When these tools are used to teach students, not only do they help capture students' interest in the subject, but they also help create an atmosphere of active participation, giving rise to a dynamic learning environment (Goodchild & Speed, 2019). When students are provided with content that is interactive and engaging, it implies that technology can be used to enhance the learning experience. This approach allows students to steer their way of learning by selecting how they want to use technology to enhance learning, thus aligning itself with the continuously evolving landscape of education.

iii. Adaptability and Accessibility: A classroom is made up of a diverse set of students with various needs and levels of understanding; thus, it is crucial to create an inclusive environment by ensuring adaptability and accessibility (Quintero, 2022). It is the responsibility of the teacher to cater to the needs of all students and to select the best tools to teach concepts while ensuring that the selected tools are available to all students. Besides the fundamental principle of technology, which states that no student should be left in their journey of education, can be achieved through adaptability and accessibility (W. Chen et al., 2022). Through this, an educational setup is created where every student involved is given an equal opportunity to grow.

iv. Professional Development for Educators: Apart from being a sensible choice, subjecting teachers to continuous professional development programs related to the usage of new digital tools also comes out as a strategic move to maximize the learning ability of students (Kyena E. Cornelius & Sandmel, 2020). It is important to realize that teachers are the ones who can effectively connect digital tools and new technologies with learning objectives to improve the teaching process, so investing in their development is a best practice. When teachers are well-versed in the application of technology, they can utilize the entire potential of technology to convert the traditional classroom into a zone of transformative education (Mokhtar & Bin Ahmad, 2020). Thus, proving that, no matter how many new tools are available to teach students, it can be effectively done only by the teachers.

B. Recommendations

i. Invest in Infrastructure: Not only is it an intellectual decision, but it is also a need to invest in the best quality of technological infrastructure, including high-speed internet and state-of-the-art devices. When institutions and policymakers decide to prioritize this investment, they will eventually create a technologically inclusive environment that becomes the stepping stone in the progress of the educational landscape. This prioritization ensures that students, irrespective of their socio-economic background, are provided with all the tools to learn new skills and have equal access to knowledge and opportunities (Galbreath et al., 2019). However, it is equally important to address the need for affordable technology in remote areas. Governments and NGOs could collaborate to provide subsidized internet access and distribute devices, such as tablets, to students in rural or underserved communities. Initiatives such as community learning centers, equipped with technology, can serve as hubs for access and learning in these areas.

ii. Encourage Collaboration: It is a wise decision to give a chance for collaboration between academicians, researchers, and tech experts as it goes beyond knowledge exchange. It is possible to create a new ecosystem of innovative practices within the realm of biodiversity education by creating a community of knowledge exchange. Through this collaboration, participants can exchange knowledge and ideologies explore ways to refine existing practices, and come up with better solutions to problems (Costello et al., 2018). Additionally, training workshops and digital forums can be organized to promote knowledge sharing and assist educational stakeholders in adopting best practices for utilizing technology in teaching. These workshops can specifically address challenges such as enhancing digital literacy among educators and students.

iii. Supporting Open Educational Resources (OER): Encouraging the usage of Open Educational Resources (OER) is one of the best practices from the perspective of educators and policymakers. OER are learning materials that are available free of charge thus promoting equal knowledge for all. By using these resources, it is possible to break down financial and geographical barriers and allow all students to access quality educational resources. This recommendation creates an inclusive environment by adhering to the concept of making educational resources accessible to everyone (Okada & Sherborne, 2018). Furthermore, training programs should be provided for educators on the effective integration of Open Educational Resources (OER) into their teaching methodologies. For example, educators can receive training on how to curate OER that aligns with localized curricula or adapt these resources to address specific student needs. This approach will enhance the utilization and impact of OER in remote areas.

iv. Continuous Evaluation and Improvement: It is crucial to have a system to continuously evaluate the inclusion of technology in education. The entire educational system can only be improved by taking continuous feedback from students and stakeholders, thus ensuring that technology is still relevant in the field of education. The improvement based on the outcomes of the feedback is an essential step in evolution. This method creates an environment of adaptability which not only enhances the effectiveness of education but also helps in meeting the demands of the modern world (Widjanarko et al., 2020). To enhance this process, institutions could establish dedicated teams to monitor technology usage and its outcomes in education. These teams could also develop plans to train educators in digital pedagogy, empowering them to adapt to new tools and teaching strategies. This initiative would not only address digital skills gaps but also improve overall learning outcomes.

IX. Future Trends and Innovations in Biodiversity Education

The potential future of education, particularly related to biodiversity, is associated with the technological changes that are happening, which can revive learning experiences. The

Journal of Engineering Education Transformations, Volume 39, No 3, Month 2026, ISSN 2349-2473, eISSN 2394-1707

recent trends in technology such as Artificial Intelligence (AI), data analytics, and gamification, are already reshaping the way students learn new concepts (Haleem, Javaid, Asim Qadri, et al. 2022; Pandit Pramitand Krishnamurthy 2022). In this section, insight is provided on a few of the trends and developments, while providing the potential impact they may have on the future of biodiversity education.

i. Artificial Intelligence (AI): The education sector is one of the few areas that has been revolutionized by AI in the last few years and it has shown a significant impact in transforming biodiversity education as well. Machine learning, a subset of artificial intelligence, enables the analysis of large datasets. This capability facilitates the identification of patterns within these datasets, supporting tasks such as species identification, ecological modeling, and conservation planning. For example, students can leverage AI-powered applications that identify plants or animals based on images, thereby enhancing the interactivity of their learning experience (Jha et al., 2019).To make biodiversity learning even more interesting and interactive, many AI-powered applications let students identify species with just an image. With the introduction of personalized learning modules, such as virtual assistants and chatbots, which offer students a customized platform to learn topics on a one-to-one basis in subjects related to biodiversity education, this system leads to enhancement in the participation and motivation of students, thus improving their skills (Nti et al., 2022).

As AI has the potential to include pattern recognition and data analysis, it will help in increasing the ability of students to understand and comprehend the complex relationships of ecology thus enhancing their understanding of biodiversity. Furthermore, it can lead to the creation of effective mechanisms for conservation and thus have a greater sense of appreciation towards ecosystems (Nishant et al., 2020; Ali et al., 2024). Introducing AI into the educational system, can revolutionize the way of teaching and learning and provide students an opportunity to develop a sustainable future as they have the required tools and skills to find solutions for the environmental challenges at hand. Nevertheless, students must be made aware of the ethical and responsible manner in which AI needs to be utilized to promote equity and accessibility in education (Nti et al., 2022; Silvestro et al., 2022). Case studies have highlighted the potential of artificial intelligence in biodiversity education. For example, the Pl@ntNet application leverages AI to enable users to identify plants through photos captured with their mobile devices. This technology has been incorporated into educational programs to support students in learning about both local and global flora. Similarly, AI-driven conservation tools, such as Wildlife Insights, are being utilized in classroom settings to educate students about animal behavior and conservation planning, effectively bridging the gap between theoretical knowledge and real-world applications.

ii. Big Data Analytics: Within the realm of biodiversity education, big data analytics can be a game changer as it can be used to extract information from tons of data. From species mapping to tracking environmental changes to understanding various ecological patterns, the applications are unlimited (Pandit Pramitand Krishnamurthy 2022). In addition to this, big data analytics also consists of tools that are capable of creating interactive maps and connections, giving students access to most of the real-time data, thus helping them understand the nature of ecosystems. Big data analytics can change biodiversity education from just theory into one that is data-reliant. Through this, students can analyze the trends in a hands-on approach, explore the trends, and participate in scientific projects. This helps students indulge themselves in research, thereby encouraging the growth of critical thinking and problem-solving.

With the predictive modeling of data analytics, students can get a potential idea of what activities can be done to positively affect biodiversity so that correct measures can be taken to preserve the ecosystem and shape a better future (Rodriguez-Pastor et al., 2023). Through this, it is possible to create awareness among the community towards conservation. The inclusion of big data analytics has the potential to provide students with tools and materials that will educate them to contribute towards a sustainable future. For instance, the Global Biodiversity Information Facility (GBIF) offers open access to a comprehensive array of biodiversity data, which empowers students and educators to investigate species distribution for research and educational endeavors. Classroom activities may involve analyzing datasets to forecast the potential impacts of climate change on species distribution, thereby deepening students' understanding of data-driven conservation strategies. Additionally, the use of remote sensing data in educational contexts facilitates the monitoring of environmental changes, such as deforestation patterns, providing students with valuable hands-on experience in data analysis.

iii. Gamification: Gamification is all about including the elements of games in a non-gameable context to increase interaction and motivation. In the case of biodiversity education, the idea of gamification can be introduced to allow students to explore ecology, identify species, and solve hypothetical challenges related to ecosystems (Rodriguez-Pastor et al., 2023). Apart from educational games, students can also learn a great deal from simulations as they are free to experiment with variables about ecological concepts giving them more hands-on exposure. Gamification is one such tool that will help retain student interest in the subject. The interaction offered by the games allows students to learn more about biodiversity as it makes it more accessible and fun. In a classroom setup, students can be introduced to competitive elements of gamification such as leaderboards and challenges, to motivate students to actively participate in the learning process (Ouariachi et al., 2020; Ch et al., 2020). This will allow students to gain a sense of accomplishment and encourage young minds to take learning with a positive attitude.

iv. Virtual and Augmented Reality (VR & AR): The usage of VR and AR tools in teaching gives students a chance to explore ecosystems virtually by overlaying digital information from the physical world. With the usage of these tools, it is possible to provide students with virtual field trips as well as educate them with interactive 3D models (Marijn H. C. Meijers Eline S. Smit & van der Laan, 2022). The VR apps are so

Journal of Engineering Education Transformations, Volume 39, No 3, Month 2026, ISSN 2349-2473, eISSN 2394-1707

effective that they can allow students to interact and learn about species that are not native to them, thus enabling them to explore a wide array of flora and fauna in a way that is not possible through conventional methods.

The VR and AR tools are capable of teaching students in an extended reality classroom where they can interact with ecosystems uniquely. It is possible to organize field trips to the rainforest, explore underwater ecosystems, and learn about the ecology of the Mesozoic era, thus motivating students to achieve better learning outcomes (Jiawei Huang Melissa S. Lucash & Klippel, 2021; Babu et al., 2024).

CONCLUSIONS

Throughout the journey to explore the amalgamation of technology and education, the exploration has revealed various discoveries and opportunities that can transform the way we look at the ecosystem. In this section of the conclusion, the main findings and highlights that are essential in transforming biodiversity education are mentioned with recommendations to harness the complete potential of technology that will help towards the goal of a sustainable future.

The extensive survey has presented a multitude of tools and methods that can reframe the way biodiversity education can be used to convey information. Tools such as simulations, virtual reality, artificial intelligence, and data analytics help students get a customized educational experience through empirical learning. By aligning the learning objectives with the usage of various digital tools, it helps enhance the interaction of the students with the subject thus improving accessibility and adaptability. In addition, the current paper has provided feasible recommendations for educators, institutes as well as policymakers on ways of increasing the effectiveness of technology integration.

The true potential of technology lies in the fact that it can break the boundaries of the conventional classroom by bringing change in the perspective of teaching and learning ecological concepts. Technology shouldn't be viewed as just another method of teaching rather it should be considered as a method of experiential learning allowing the students to interact with aspects of nature in an unorthodox way. The usage of new trends like AI and gamification allows students to have personalized education.

Technology enables students to explore a wide range of ecosystems virtually, get real-time data, and indulge in challenges, allowing them to understand the intricacies of nature. With the introduction of AI and data analytics, students get a chance to have hands-on experience with the matter and learn in a data-driven system. Gamification increases the interaction of the students with the subject also encourages them to actively involve themselves in the learning process. Similarly, VR and AR help in creating a virtual world that allows the students to correlate the content learned from the textbook and visualize them to get a better understanding of topics.

In order to be in tandem with continuously evolving technology, it is always recommended that there needs to be incessant research and innovation through collaboration. The teachers, policymakers, and researchers need to know new technologies that arise so that they can be properly aligned with the learning outcomes to give the best learning experience to the students. The motive of conservation of the environment can't be limited to a small group, an institution, or a country; rather it should be a global initiative. The usage of technology enables the pooling of the upcoming generation of like-minded individuals who are ready to explore their knowledge and skills to find solutions for the challenges related to biodiversity conservation. To accomplish the goal of a sustainable future, teachers, researchers, and policy makers need to collaborate and share ideas to brainstorm solutions. This will give rise to initiatives that can leverage environmental conservation efforts and promote sustainability.

In conclusion, it can be said that the usage of technology to transform the way of teaching is not just a theoretical idea but a practical solution that is happening all around. As we explore the new tapestry of possibilities, it is best to take it as an opportunity to enlighten future generations about the need to preserve the nature that sustains us all.

REFERENCES

Abirami, A. M., Karthikeyan, P., & Thangavel, M. (2023). Peer-to-Peer Learning Process (PPLP) Framework to Enhance Problem Solving Skills. Journal of Engineering Education Transformations, 36(Special Issue 2), 244–248. Scopus. https://doi.org/10.16920/jeet/2023/v36is2/23035

Acero Triana, J. S., Chu, M. L., & Stein, J. A. (2021). Assessing the impacts of agricultural conservation practices on freshwater biodiversity under changing climate. Ecological Modelling, 453, 109604. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolmodel.2021.109604

Akindele, E. O., Ekwemuka, M. C., Apeverga, P., Amusa, T. O., Olajuyigbe, S., Coker, O. M., Olaleru, F., Fasona, M., Usen, E. N., Ringim, A. S., Adedoja, O. A., Nsude, C. C., Ota, A. C., Oluowo, F. E., Onatunji, A. B., Adedapo, A. M., & Kolawole-Daniels, A. (2021). Assessing awareness on biodiversity conservation among Nigerians: the Aichi Biodiversity Target 1. Biodiversity and Conservation, 30(7), 1947–1970. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10531-021-02175-x

Alessandri, G., Zuffianò, A., & Perinelli, E. (2017). Evaluating Intervention Programs with a Pretest-Posttest Design: A Structural Equation Modeling Approach. Frontiers in Psychology, 8. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2017.00223

Ali, M. T., Rahman, M. A., Mannan, M. A., Zishan, M. S. R., Chisty, N. A., & Hossain, C. A. (2024). Impact of Intermediate Indicators on Attaining Cognitive Program Outcomes. Journal of Engineering Education Transformations, 38(2), 187–196. Scopus. https://doi.org/10.16920/jeet/2024/v38i2/24201

Amin, M. E. K., Nørgaard, L. S., Cavaco, A. M., Witry, M. J., Hillman, L., Cernasev, A., & Desselle, S. P. (2020). Establishing trustworthiness and authenticity in qualitative pharmacy research. Research in Social

and Administrative Pharmacy, 16(10), 1472–1482. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sapharm.2020.02.005

Andrade, F. S. P., Aranha, C., & da Silva Torres, R. (2020). On the Use of Predation to Shape Evolutionary Computation. 2020 IEEE Symposium Series on Computational Intelligence (SSCI), 117–124. https://doi.org/10.1109/SSCI47803.2020.9308209

Audrin, C. (2023). How is biodiversity understood in compulsory education textbooks? A lexicographic analysis of teaching programs in the French-speaking part of Switzerland. Environmental Education Research, 29(8), 1056–1071. https://doi.org/10.1080/13504622.2022.2092597.

Babu, B. V. S., Babu, K. S., & Bharadwaja, D. (2024). Effectual Use and Implementation of Micro-Lesson Plan Activities Through Mapping of Bloom's Taxonomy and ICT Technologies. Journal of Engineering Education Transformations, 38(1), 100–108. Scopus. https://doi.org/10.16920/jeet/2024/v38i1/24178

Barrett, D., & Twycross, A. (2018). Data collection in qualitative research. Evidence-Based Nursing, 21(3), 63–64. https://doi.org/10.1136/eb-2018-102939

Benson, G. W., Donnelly, M. J., Sacks, P. E., & Walters, L. J. (2023). Documenting Loss and Fragmentation of Intertidal Oyster (Crassostrea virginica) Reefs in a Subtropical Estuary. Environments, 10(8). https://doi.org/10.3390/environments10080133

Bilyk, Z. I., Shapovalov, Y. B., Shapovalov, V. B., Megalinska, A. P., Zhadan, S. O., Andruszkiewicz, F., Dołhańczuk-Śródka, A., & Antonenko, P. D. (2022). Comparison of Google Lens recognition performance with other plant recognition systems. Educational Technology Quarterly, 2022(4), 328–346. https://doi.org/10.55056/etq.433

Bishop, P., & Herron, R. (2015). Use and Misuse of the Likert Item Responses and Other Ordinal Measures. International Journal of Exercise Science, 8, Article 10.

Bison, M., Yoccoz, N. G., Carlson, B. Z., & Delestrade, A. (2019). Comparison of budburst phenology trends and precision among participants in a citizen science program. International Journal of Biometeorology, 63(1), 61–72. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00484-018-1636-x

Blanco-Zaitegi, G., Álvarez Etxeberria, I., & Moneva, J. M. (2022). Biodiversity accounting and reporting: A systematic literature review and bibliometric analysis. Journal of Cleaner Production, 371, 133677. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2022.133677

Caird Sally and Roy, R. (2019). Blended Learning and Sustainable Development. In W. Leal Filho (Ed.), Encyclopedia of Sustainability in Higher Education (pp. 107–116). Springer International Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-11352-0_197

Ch, S., & Ringu, V. (2020). An innovative approach to achieve engineering graduate outcomes. Journal of Engineering Education Transformations, 33(Special Issue), 456–459. Scopus. https://doi.org/10.16920/jeet/2020/v33i0/150191

Chen, C.-J., Huang, Y.-Y., Li, Y.-S., Chang, C.-Y., & Huang, Y.-M. (2020). An AIoT Based Smart Agricultural System for Pests Detection. IEEE Access, 8, 180750–180761. https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2020.3024891

Chen, W., Zhu, K., Wu, Q., Cai, Y., Lu, Y., & Wei, J. (2022). Adaptability evaluation of human settlements in Chengdu based on 3S technology. Environmental Science and Pollution Research, 29(4), 5988–5999. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-021-15998-6

Cheng, K.-H., & Tsai, C.-C. (2019). A case study of immersive virtual field trips in an elementary classroom: Students' learning experience and teacher-student interaction behaviors. Computers & Education, 140, 103600. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2019.103600

Christothea Herodotou Nashwa Ismail, A. I. B. L. M. A. A. N. Y. R. F. J. L. M. H. M. G. K. L. D. R., & Ballard, H. L. (2023). Young people in iNaturalist: a blended learning framework for biodiversity monitoring. International Journal of Science Education, Part B, 0(0), 1–28. https://doi.org/10.1080/21548455.2023.2217472

Ciesielska Malgorzata and Boström, K. W. and Ö. M. (2018). Observation Methods. In D. Ciesielska Malgorzata and Jemielniak (Ed.), Qualitative Methodologies in Organization Studies: Volume II: Methods and Possibilities (pp. 33–52). Springer International Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-65442-3_2

Colin Loughlin, S. L.-B., & Lindberg-Sand, Å. (2021). Reclaiming constructive alignment. European Journal of Higher Education, 11(2), 119–136. https://doi.org/10.1080/21568235.2020.1816197

Costello, M. J., Horton, T., & Kroh, A. (2018). Sustainable Biodiversity Databasing: International, Collaborative, Dynamic, Centralised. Trends in Ecology & Evolution, 33(11), 803–805. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2018.08.006

Crenna, E., Sinkko, T., & Sala, S. (2019). Biodiversity impacts due to food consumption in Europe. Journal of Cleaner Production, 227, 378–391. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2019.04.054

Darras, K. E., van Merriënboer, J. J. G., Toom, M., Roberson, N. D., de Bruin, A. B. H., Nicolaou, S., & Forster, B. B. (2019a). Developing the Evidence Base for M-Learning in Undergraduate Radiology Education: Identifying Learner Preferences for Mobile Apps. Canadian Association of Radiologists Journal, 70(3), 320–326. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carj.2019.03.007

Darras, K. E., van Merriënboer, J. J. G., Toom, M., Roberson, N. D., de Bruin, A. B. H., Nicolaou, S., & Forster, B. B. (2019b). Developing the Evidence Base for M-Learning in Undergraduate Radiology Education: Identifying Learner Preferences for Mobile Apps.

Canadian Association of Radiologists Journal, 70(3), 320–326. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carj.2019.03.007

Devkota, K. R. (2021). Inequalities reinforced through online and distance education in the age of COVID-19: The case of higher education in Nepal. International Review of Education, 67(1), 145–165. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11159-021-09886-x

DeWoody, J. A., Harder, A. M., Mathur, S., & Willoughby, J. R. (2021). The long-standing significance of genetic diversity in conservation. Molecular Ecology, 30(17), 4147–4154. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1111/mec.16051

Díaz, S., Zafra-Calvo, N., Purvis, A., Verburg, P. H., Obura, D., Leadley, P., Chaplin-Kramer, R., Meester, L. De, Dulloo, E., Martín-López, B., Shaw, M. R., Visconti, P., Broadgate, W., Bruford, M. W., Burgess, N. D., Cavender-Bares, J., DeClerck, F., Fernández-Palacios, J. M., Garibaldi, L. A., … Zanne, A. E. (2020). Set ambitious goals for biodiversity and sustainability. Science, 370(6515), 411–413. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.abe1530

Dopp, A. R., Mundey, P., Beasley, L. O., Silovsky, J. F., & Eisenberg, D. (2019). Mixed-method approaches to strengthen economic evaluations in implementation research. Implementation Science, 14(1), 2. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13012-018-0850-6

Drăguleasa, I.-A., Niță, A., Mazilu, M., & Curcan, G. (2023). Spatio-Temporal Distribution and Trends of Major Agricultural Crops in Romania Using Interactive Geographic Information System Mapping. Sustainability, 15(20). https://doi.org/10.3390/su152014793

Eliasson, K., West, C. D., Croft, S. A., & Green, J. M. H. (2023). A spatially explicit approach to assessing commodity-driven fertilizer use and its impact on biodiversity. Journal of Cleaner Production, 382, 135195. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2022.135195

Eshun, F., Wotorchie, R. K., Buahing, A. A., Harrison-Afful, A. A., Atiatorme, W. K., Amedzake, G., Adofo-Yeboah, Y., & Mante, V. (2022). A Survey of the Role of Environmental Education in Biodiversity Conservation in the Greater Accra Region of Ghana. Conservation, 2(2), 297–304. https://doi.org/10.3390/conservation2020021

FitzPatrick, B. (2019). Validity in qualitative health education research. Currents in Pharmacy Teaching and Learning, 11(2), 211–217. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cptl.2018.11.014

Franz, N. M., & Sterner, B. W. (2018). To increase trust, change the social design behind aggregated biodiversity data. Database, 2018, bax100. https://doi.org/10.1093/database/bax100

Frederick J. Adam and Gotensparre, S. and J. D. and K. B. and O. M. (2019). The Virtue Project and the Biofilms and Biodiversity Project: An International Collaboration in Marine Science Education. In D. L. and M. M. E. and L.-A. A. and C. F. Fauville Géraldine and Payne (Ed.), Exemplary Practices in Marine Science Education: A Resource for Practitioners and Researchers (pp. 257–287). Springer International Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-90778-9_16

Gadelha Jr, L. M. R., de Siracusa, P. C., Dalcin, E. C., da Silva, L. A. E., Augusto, D. A., Krempser, E., Affe, H. M., Costa, R. L., Mondelli, M. L., Meirelles, P. M., Thompson, F., Chame, M., Ziviani, A., & de Siqueira, M. F. (2021). A survey of biodiversity informatics: Concepts, practices, and challenges. WIREs Data Mining and Knowledge Discovery, 11(1), e1394. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1002/widm.1394

Gaillet, G., Asselin, A.-C., & Wermeille, A. (2022). Sustainable fisheries: Towards operationalization of decision making accounting for biodiversity. Journal of Cleaner Production, 362, 132103. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2022.132103

Galbreath, K. E., Hoberg, E. P., Cook, J. A., Armién, B., Bell, K. C., Campbell, M. L., Dunnum, J. L., Dursahinhan, A. T., Eckerlin, R. P., Gardner, S. L., Greiman, S. E., Henttonen, H., Jiménez, F. A., Koehler, A. V. A., Nyamsuren, B., Tkach, V. V, Torres-Pérez, F., Tsvetkova, A., & Hope, A. G. (2019). Building an integrated infrastructure for exploring biodiversity: field collections and archives of mammals and parasites. Journal of Mammalogy, 100(2), 382–393. https://doi.org/10.1093/jmammal/gyz048

Gaur, A., & Kumar, M. (2018). A systematic approach to conducting review studies: An assessment of content analysis in 25years of IB research. Journal of World Business, 53(2), 280–289. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jwb.2017.11.003

Goodchild, T., & Speed, E. (2019). Technology enhanced learning as transformative innovation: a note on the enduring myth of TEL. Teaching in Higher Education, 24(8), 948–963. https://doi.org/10.1080/13562517.2018.1518900

Goodman, M., Si, X., Stafford, J., Obasohan, A., & Mchunguzi, C. (2012). Quantitative Assessment of Participant Knowledge and Evaluation of Participant Satisfaction in the CARES Training Program. Progress in Community Health Partnerships : Research, Education, and Action, 6, 361–368. https://doi.org/10.1353/cpr.2012.0051

Gough, A. (2021). All STEM-Ed up: Gaps and Silences around Ecological Education in Australia. Sustainability, 13(7). https://doi.org/10.3390/su13073801

Grafius, D. R., Corstanje, R., Warren, P. H., Evans, K. L., Norton, B. A., Siriwardena, G. M., Pescott, O. L., Plummer, K. E., Mears, M., Zawadzka, J., Richards, J. P., & Harris, J. A. (2019). Using GIS-linked Bayesian Belief Networks as a tool for modelling urban biodiversity. Landscape and Urban Planning, 189, 382–395.

https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.landurbplan.2019.05.012

Graves, J. M., Abshire, D. A., Amiri, S., & Mackelprang, J. L. (2021). Disparities in Technology and Broadband Internet Access Across Rurality. Family & Community Health, 44, 257–265. https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:235959451

Guntur, M. I. S., Setyaningrum, W., Retnawati, H., & Marsigit, M. (2020). Assessing the Potential of Augmented Reality in Education. Proceedings of the 2020 11th International Conference on E-Education, E-Business, E-Management, and E-Learning, 93–97. https://doi.org/10.1145/3377571.3377621

Haleem, A., Javaid, M., Asim Qadri, M., Pratap Singh, R., & Suman, R. (2022). Artificial intelligence (AI) applications for marketing: A literature-based study. International Journal of Intelligent Networks, 3, 119–132. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijin.2022.08.005

Haleem, A., Javaid, M., Qadri, M. A., & Suman, R. (2022a). Understanding the role of digital technologies in education: A review. Sustainable Operations and Computers, 3, 275–285. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.susoc.2022.05.004

Haleem, A., Javaid, M., Qadri, M. A., & Suman, R. (2022b). Understanding the role of digital technologies in education: A review. Sustainable Operations and Computers, 3, 275–285. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.susoc.2022.05.004

Hamad, E., Savundranayagam, M., Holmes, J., Kinsella, E., & Johnson, A. (2016). Toward a Mixed-Methods Research Approach to Content Analysis in The Digital Age: The Combined Content-Analysis Model and its Applications to Health Care Twitter Feeds. Journal of Medical Internet Research, 18, e60. https://doi.org/10.2196/jmir.5391

Hardisty, A., Bacall, F., Beard, N., Balcazar-Vargas, M., Balech, B., Barcza, Z., Bourlat, S., De Giovanni, R., Jong, Y., De Leo, F., Dobor, L., Donvito, G., Fellows, D., Guerra, A., Ferreira, N., Fetyukova, Y., Fosso, B., Giddy, J., Goble, C., & Yilmaz, P. (2016). BioVeL: A virtual laboratory for data analysis and modelling in biodiversity science and ecology. BMC Ecology, 16, 49. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12898-016-0103-y

Hirschfeld, S., Nowinski, C. J., & Slotkin, J. (2022). Editorial: Outcome assessments for longitudinal studies in pediatric research. Frontiers in Pediatrics, 10. https://doi.org/10.3389/fped.2022.884015

Hitchcock, C., Sullivan, J., & O'Donnell, K. (2021). Cultivating Bioliteracy, Biodiscovery, Data Literacy, and Ecological Monitoring in Undergraduate Courses with iNaturalist. Citizen Science: Theory and Practice. https://doi.org/10.5334/cstp.439

Hoban, S., Archer, F. I., Bertola, L. D., Bragg, J. G., Breed, M. F., Bruford, M. W., Coleman, M. A., Ekblom, R., Funk, W. C., Grueber, C. E., Hand, B. K., Jaffé, R., Jensen, E., Johnson, J. S., Kershaw, F., Liggins, L., MacDonald, A. J., Mergeay, J., Miller, J. M., … Hunter, M. E. (2022). Global genetic diversity status and trends: towards a suite of Essential Biodiversity Variables (EBVs) for genetic composition. Biological Reviews, 97(4), 1511–1538. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1111/brv.12852

Hoban, S., da Silva, J. M., Mastretta-Yanes, A., Grueber, C. E., Heuertz, M., Hunter, M. E., Mergeay, J., Paz-Vinas, I., Fukaya, K., Ishihama, F., Jordan, R., Köppä, V., Latorre-Cárdenas, M. C., MacDonald, A. J., Rincon-Parra, V., Sjögren-Gulve, P., Tani, N., Thurfjell, H., & Laikre, L. (2023). Monitoring status and trends in genetic diversity for the Convention on Biological Diversity: An ongoing assessment of genetic indicators in nine countries. Conservation Letters, 16(3), e12953. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1111/conl.12953

Hong, P., Schmid, B., De Laender, F., Eisenhauer, N., Zhang, X., Chen, H., Craven, D., De Boeck, H. J., Hautier, Y., Petchey, O. L., Reich, P. B., Steudel, B., Striebel, M., Thakur, M. P., & Wang, S. (2022). Biodiversity promotes ecosystem functioning despite environmental change. Ecology Letters, 25(2), 555–569. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1111/ele.13936

Irmadora, C., Djufri, & Pada, A. U. T. (2020). Students learning motivation towards environmental-based biological learning activities. Journal of Physics: Conference Series, 1460(1), 12071. https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/1460/1/012071

Jetz, W., McGeoch, M. A., Guralnick, R., Ferrier, S., Beck, J., Costello, M. J., Fernandez, M., Geller, G. N., Keil, P., Merow, C., Meyer, C., Muller-Karger, F. E., Pereira, H. M., Regan, E. C., Schmeller, D. S., & Turak, E. (2019). Essential biodiversity variables for mapping and monitoring species populations. Nature Ecology & Evolution, 3(4), 539–551. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41559-019-0826-1

Jha, K., Doshi, A., Patel, P., & Shah, M. (2019). A comprehensive review on automation in agriculture using artificial intelligence. Artificial Intelligence in Agriculture, 2, 1–12. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aiia.2019.05.004

Jiawei Huang Melissa S. Lucash, R. M. S., & Klippel, A. (2021). Walking through the forests of the future: using data-driven virtual reality to visualize forests under climate change. International Journal of Geographical Information Science, 35(6), 1155–1178. https://doi.org/10.1080/13658816.2020.1830997

Johnston, A., Matechou, E., & Dennis, E. B. (2023). Outstanding challenges and future directions for biodiversity monitoring using citizen science data. Methods in Ecology and Evolution, 14(1), 103–116. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1111/2041-210X.13834

Joly Alexis and Goëau, H. and G. H. and S. C. and B. P. and V. W.-P. and L. J.-C. and P. R. and P. S. and M. H. (2019). Biodiversity Information Retrieval Through

Large Scale Content-Based Identification: A Long-Term Evaluation. In C. Ferro Nicola and Peters (Ed.), Information Retrieval Evaluation in a Changing World: Lessons Learned from 20 Years of CLEF (pp. 389–413). Springer International Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-22948-1_16

Kamudu, B., Rollnick, M., & Nyamupangedengu, E. (n.d.). Investigating what students learnt about biodiversity following a visit to a nature reserve using Personal Meaning Maps. Journal of Biological Education, 1–18. https://doi.org/10.1080/00219266.2022.2092190

Kapilan, N., Vidhya, P., & Gao, X.-Z. (2021). Virtual Laboratory: A Boon to the Mechanical Engineering Education During Covid-19 Pandemic. Higher Education for the Future, 8(1), 31–46. https://doi.org/10.1177/2347631120970757

Karlsson, M., & Edvardsson Björnberg, K. (2021). Ethics and biodiversity offsetting. Conservation Biology, 35(2), 578–586. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1111/cobi.13603

Karunathilake, E. M. B. M., Le, A. T., Heo, S., Chung, Y. S., & Mansoor, S. (2023). The Path to Smart Farming: Innovations and Opportunities in Precision Agriculture. Agriculture, 13(8). https://doi.org/10.3390/agriculture13081593

Katili, A. S., Utina, R., Yusuf, F. M., Pikoli, M., & Dama, L. (2021). Biodiversity literacy in science education. Journal of Physics: Conference Series, 1968(1), 12024. https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/1968/1/012024

Kays, R., McShea, W. J., & Wikelski, M. (2020). Born-digital biodiversity data: Millions and billions. Diversity and Distributions, 26(5), 644–648. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1111/ddi.12993

Kedward, K., Ryan-Collins, J., & Chenet, H. (2023). Biodiversity loss and climate change interactions: financial stability implications for central banks and financial supervisors. Climate Policy, 23(6), 763–781. https://doi.org/10.1080/14693062.2022.2107475

König Christian AND Weigelt, P. A. N. D. S. J. A. N. D. T. A. A. N. D. K. J. A. N. D. K. H. (2019). Biodiversity data integration—the significance of data resolution and domain. PLOS Biology, 17(3), 1–16. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.3000183

Kopnina, H., Muhammad, N. Z., & Olaleru, F. (2022). Exploring attitudes to biodiversity conservation and Half-Earth vision in Nigeria: A preliminary study of community attitudes to conservation in Yankari Game Reserve. Biological Conservation, 272, 109645. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2022.109645

Kyena E. Cornelius, M. S. R., & Sandmel, K. N. (2020). Examining the Impact of Professional Development and Coaching on Mentoring of Novice Special Educators. Action in Teacher Education, 42(3), 253–270. https://doi.org/10.1080/01626620.2019.1638847

Luna Soledad and Gold, M. and A. A. and C. L. and C. B. and D. O. and H. M. and K. R. and K. C. and P. J. and R. A. and S. S. and S. U. (2018). Developing Mobile Applications for Environmental and Biodiversity Citizen Science: Considerations and Recommendations. In S. and K. K. and K. A. and B. P. Joly Alexis and Vrochidis (Ed.), Multimedia Tools and Applications for Environmental & Biodiversity Informatics (pp. 9–30). Springer International Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-76445-0_2

Lynch, A. J., Cooke, S. J., Arthington, A. H., Baigun, C., Bossenbroek, L., Dickens, C., Harrison, I., Kimirei, I., Langhans, S. D., Murchie, K. J., Olden, J. D., Ormerod, S. J., Owuor, M., Raghavan, R., Samways, M. J., Schinegger, R., Sharma, S., Tachamo-Shah, R.-D., Tickner, D., … Jähnig, S. C. (2023). People need freshwater biodiversity. WIREs Water, 10(3), e1633. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1002/wat2.1633

Maas, B., Fabian, Y., Kross, S. M., & Richter, A. (2021). Divergent farmer and scientist perceptions of agricultural biodiversity, ecosystem services and decision-making. Biological Conservation, 256, 109065. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2021.109065

Malavasi, M. (2020). The map of biodiversity mapping. Biological Conservation, 252, 108843. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2020.108843

Marijn H. C. Meijers Eline S. Smit, K. de W. S.-G. K. D. van der P., & van der Laan, L. N. (2022). Stimulating Sustainable Food Choices Using Virtual Reality: Taking an Environmental vs Health Communication Perspective on Enhancing Response Efficacy Beliefs. Environmental Communication, 16(1), 1–22. https://doi.org/10.1080/17524032.2021.1943700

McCarthy, L. J., & Russo, A. (2023). Exploring the role of nature-based typologies and stewardship schemes in enhancing urban green spaces: Citizen perceptions of landscape design scenarios and ecosystem services. Journal of Environmental Management, 346, 118944. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2023.118944

Mesaglio, T., & Callaghan, C. T. (2021). An overview of the history, current contributions and future outlook of iNaturalist in Australia. Wildlife Research, 48(4), 289–303. https://doi.org/10.1071/WR20154

Methorst, J., Rehdanz, K., Mueller, T., Hansjürgens, B., Bonn, A., & Böhning-Gaese, K. (2021). The importance of species diversity for human well-being in Europe. Ecological Economics, 181, 106917. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolecon.2020.106917

Millard, J., Outhwaite, C. L., Kinnersley, R., Freeman, R., Gregory, R. D., Adedoja, O., Gavini, S., Kioko, E., Kuhlmann, M., Ollerton, J., Ren, Z.-X., & Newbold, T. (2021). Global effects of land-use intensity on local pollinator biodiversity. Nature Communications, 12(1), 2902. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-021-23228-3

Mokhtar, U., & Bin Ahmad, J. (2020, March). 5G Communications : Potential Impact On Education Technology In Higher Ed.

Monte, T., & Reis, P. (2021). Design of a Pedagogical Model of Education for Environmental Citizenship in Primary Education. Sustainability, 13(11). https://doi.org/10.3390/su13116000

Mukherjee, B. (2010). 5 - Quantitative analysis of journals' contents. In B. Mukherjee (Ed.), Scholarly Communication in Library and Information Services (pp. 141–210). Chandos Publishing. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-1-84334-626-5.50005-7

Musvuugwa, T., Dlomu, M. G., & Adebowale, A. (2021a). Big Data in Biodiversity Science: A Framework for Engagement. Technologies, 9(3). https://doi.org/10.3390/technologies9030060

Musvuugwa, T., Dlomu, M. G., & Adebowale, A. (2021b). Big Data in Biodiversity Science: A Framework for Engagement. Technologies, 9(3). https://doi.org/10.3390/technologies9030060

Nawawi, N., & Priyani, N. (2023). Improving Digital Literacy and Student Learning Outcomes in Border School. Sustainable Jurnal Kajian Mutu Pendidikan, 6(1), 40–45. https://www.jurnal.lp2msasbabel.ac.id/index.php/sus/article/view/3153

Nelson, G., & Ellis, S. (2019). The history and impact of digitization and digital data mobilization on biodiversity research. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences, 374(1763), 20170391. https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2017.0391

Nemec, Z. C., Cooper, K. N., & Clark, J. M. (2022). Implementing the FrogWatch USA Citizen Science Program as a Versatile Ecological Educational Tool. The American Biology Teacher, 84(8), 503–505. https://doi.org/10.1525/abt.2022.84.8.503

Nishant, R., Kennedy, M., & Corbett, J. (2020). Artificial intelligence for sustainability: Challenges, opportunities, and a research agenda. International Journal of Information Management, 53, 102104. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijinfomgt.2020.102104

Nizamani, M. M., Harris, A. J., Cheng, X.-L., Zhu, Z.-X., Jim, C. Y., & Wang, H.-F. (2021). Positive relationships among aboveground biomass, tree species diversity, and urban greening management in tropical coastal city of Haikou. Ecology and Evolution, 11(17), 12204–12219. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1002/ece3.7985

Nti, E. K., Cobbina, S. J., Attafuah, E. E., Opoku, E., & Gyan, M. A. (2022). Environmental sustainability technologies in biodiversity, energy, transportation and water management using artificial intelligence: A systematic review. Sustainable Futures, 4, 100068. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sftr.2022.100068

Nugent, J. (2018). iNaturalist: Citizen science for 21st-century naturalists. Science Scope, 41(7), 12+. https://link.gale.com/apps/doc/A531045587/AONE?u=anon~114db2d6&sid=googleScholar&xid=be8c12f1

Nyberg, L., Boraxbekk, C.-J., Sörman, D. E., Hansson, P., Herlitz, A., Kauppi, K., Ljungberg, J. K., Lövheim, H., Lundquist, A., Adolfsson, A. N., Oudin, A., Pudas, S., Rönnlund, M., Stiernstedt, M., Sundström, A., & Adolfsson, R. (2020). Biological and environmental predictors of heterogeneity in neurocognitive ageing: Evidence from Betula and other longitudinal studies. Ageing Research Reviews, 64, 101184. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.arr.2020.101184

Oai, L. T., & Hoi, P. T. T. (2019). Integrating Nature Conservation and Biodiversity Education in Teaching in General schools in Vietnam. Journal of Physics: Conference Series, 1340(1), 12052. https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/1340/1/012052

Okada, A., & Sherborne, T. (2018). Equipping the Next Generation for Responsible Research and Innovation with Open Educational Resources, Open Courses, Open Communities and Open Schooling: An Impact Case Study in Brazil. Journal of Interactive Media in Education. https://doi.org/10.5334/jime.482

O.Nyumba, T., Wilson, K., Derrick, C. J., & Mukherjee, N. (2018). The use of focus group discussion methodology: Insights from two decades of application in conservation. Methods in Ecology and Evolution, 9(1), 20–32. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1111/2041-210X.12860

Ouariachi, T., Li, C.-Y., & Elving, W. (2020). Gamification Approaches for Education and Engagement on Pro-Environmental Behaviors: Searching for Best Practices. Sustainability, 12, 4565. https://doi.org/10.3390/su12114565

Pandit Pramit and Krishnamurthy, K. N. and B. B. (2022). Artificial Intelligence (AI) and Big Data Analytics for the COVID-19 Pandemic. In S. and dos S. W. P. and C. B. S. A. and F. F. Pani Subhendu Kumar and Dash (Ed.), Assessing COVID-19 and Other Pandemics and Epidemics using Computational Modelling and Data Analysis (pp. 1–17). Springer International Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-79753-9_1

Perkins, K. (2020). Transforming STEM Learning at Scale: PhET Interactive Simulations. Childhood Education, 96(4), 42–49. https://doi.org/10.1080/00094056.2020.1796451

Peter, M., Diekötter, T., Höffler, T., & Kremer, K. (2021a). Biodiversity citizen science: Outcomes for the participating citizens. People and Nature, 3(2), 294–311. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1002/pan3.10193

Peter, M., Diekötter, T., Höffler, T., & Kremer, K. (2021b). Biodiversity citizen science: Outcomes for the participating citizens. People and Nature, 3(2), 294–311. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1002/pan3.10193

Petrescu-Mag, R. M., Petrescu, D. C., & Azadi, H. (2024). Biodiversity conservation indicators and conflict management: Application of environmental expert-based approach in Romania. Journal of Cleaner Production, 442, 140908. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2024.140908

Piaggio, M., & Siikamäki, J. (2021). The value of forest water purification ecosystem services in Costa Rica. Science of The Total Environment, 789, 147952. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2021.147952

Piaskoski, A., Reilly, K., & Gilliland, J. (2020). A Conceptual Model of Rural Household Food Insecurity: A Qualitative Systematic Review and Content Analysis. Family & Community Health, 43(4). https://journals.lww.com/familyandcommunityhealth/fulltext/2020/10000/a_conceptual_model_of_rural_household_food.6.aspx

Pocock, M. J. O., Chandler, M., Bonney, R., Thornhill, I., Albin, A., August, T., Bachman, S., Brown, P. M. J., Cunha, D. G. F., Grez, A., Jackson, C., Peters, M., Rabarijaon, N. R., Roy, H. E., Zaviezo, T., & Danielsen, F. (2018). Chapter Six - A Vision for Global Biodiversity Monitoring With Citizen Science. In D. A. Bohan, A. J. Dumbrell, G. Woodward, & M. Jackson (Eds.), Next Generation Biomonitoring: Part 2 (Vol. 59, pp. 169–223). Academic Press. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/bs.aecr.2018.06.003

Queiruga-Dios, M. Á., López-Iñesta, E., Diez-Ojeda, M., Sáiz-Manzanares, M. C., & Vázquez Dorrío, J. B. (2020). Citizen Science for Scientific Literacy and the Attainment of Sustainable Development Goals in Formal Education. Sustainability, 12(10). https://doi.org/10.3390/su12104283

Quintero, C. (2022). A review: accessible technology through participatory design. Disability and Rehabilitation: Assistive Technology, 17(4), 369–375. https://doi.org/10.1080/17483107.2020.1785564

Raja, R., & Nagasubramani, P. (2018). Impact of modern technology in education. Journal of Applied and Advanced Research, 3, 33. https://doi.org/10.21839/jaar.2018.v3iS1.165

Renz, S. M., Carrington, J. M., & Badger, T. A. (2018). Two Strategies for Qualitative Content Analysis: An Intramethod Approach to Triangulation. Qualitative Health Research, 28(5), 824–831. https://doi.org/10.1177/1049732317753586

Richardson, M., Passmore, H.-A., Barbett, L., Lumber, R., Thomas, R., & Hunt, A. (2020). The green care code: How nature connectedness and simple activities help explain pro-nature conservation behaviours. People and Nature, 2(3), 821–839. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1002/pan3.10117

Rodríguez-Loinaz, G., & Palacios-Agundez, I. (2024). Teaching ecosystem services: a pathway to improve students' argumentation in favour of nature conservation and sustainable development? Journal of Biological Education, 58(1), 29–50. https://doi.org/10.1080/00219266.2021.2017322

Rodriguez-Pastor, D. A., Ildefonso-Sanchez, A. F., Soltero, V. M., Peralta, M. E., & Chacartegui, R. (2023). A new predictive model for the design and evaluation of bifacial photovoltaic plants under the influence of vegetation soils. Journal of Cleaner Production, 385, 135701. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2022.135701

Ryan M. Katz-Rosene, C. K.-B., & Paterson, M. (2021). Teaching students to think ecologically about the global political economy, and vice versa. Review of International Political Economy, 28(4), 1083–1098. https://doi.org/10.1080/09692290.2020.1748092

Saqr, M. (2017). Assessment analytics: The missing step. International Journal of Health Sciences, 11, 1–2.

Scheper, J., Badenhausser, I., Kantelhardt, J., Kirchweger, S., Bartomeus, I., Bretagnolle, V., Clough, Y., Gross, N., Raemakers, I., Vilà, M., Zaragoza-Trello, C., & Kleijn, D. (2023). Biodiversity and pollination benefits trade off against profit in an intensive farming system. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 120(28), e2212124120. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2212124120

Schneiderhan-Opel, J., & Bogner, F. X. (2020). The Relation between Knowledge Acquisition and Environmental Values within the Scope of a Biodiversity Learning Module. Sustainability, 12(5). https://doi.org/10.3390/su12052036

Schwarz, N. (2007). Evaluating Surveys and Questionnaires (pp. 54–74). https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511804632.005

Shania Mila and Handayani, P. W. and A. S. (2023). Designing High-Fidelity Mobile Health for Depression in Indonesian Adolescents Using Design Science Research: Mixed Method Approaches. JMIR Form Res, 7, e48913. https://doi.org/10.2196/48913

Shem Unger Mark Rollins, A. T., & Dumais, H. (2021). iNaturalist as an engaging tool for identifying organisms in outdoor activities. Journal of Biological Education, 55(5), 537–547. https://doi.org/10.1080/00219266.2020.1739114

Sills, J., Greytak, E. M., Kaye, D. H., Budowle, B., Moore, C., & Armentrout, S. L. (2018). Privacy and genetic genealogy data. Science, 361(6405), 857. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aav0330

Silvestro, D., Goria, S., Sterner, T., & Antonelli, A. (2022). Improving biodiversity protection through artificial intelligence. Nature Sustainability, 5(5), 415–424. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41893-022-00851-6

Sim, J., & Waterfield, J. (2019). Focus group methodology: some ethical challenges. Quality & Quantity, 53(6), 3003–3022. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11135-019-00914-5

Simblett Sara and Greer, B. and M. F. and C. H. and P. A. and F. J. and G. P. and W. T. (2018). Barriers to and Facilitators of Engagement With Remote Measurement Technology for Managing Health: Systematic Review and Content Analysis of

Findings. J Med Internet Res, 20(7), e10480. https://doi.org/10.2196/10480

Somrak, A., Pogacnik, M., & Guna, J. (2021). Suitability and Comparison of Questionnaires Assessing Virtual Reality-Induced Symptoms and Effects and User Experience in Virtual Environments. Sensors, 21(4). https://doi.org/10.3390/s21041185

Taimur, S., & Onuki, M. (2022). Design thinking as digital transformative pedagogy in higher sustainability education: Cases from Japan and Germany. International Journal of Educational Research, 114, 101994. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijer.2022.101994

Tang, B., Clark, J. S., & Gelfand, A. E. (2021). Modeling spatially biased citizen science effort through the eBird database. Environmental and Ecological Statistics, 28(3), 609–630. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10651-021-00508-1

Tino Lesener, B. G., & Wolter, C. (2019). The job demands-resources model: A meta-analytic review of longitudinal studies. Work & Stress, 33(1), 76–103. https://doi.org/10.1080/02678373.2018.1529065

Turner, P. A. M., Ximenes, F. A., Penman, T. D., Law, B. S., Waters, C. M., Grant, T., Mo, M., & Brock, P. M. (2019). Accounting for biodiversity in life cycle impact assessments of forestry and agricultural systems—the BioImpact metric. The International Journal of Life Cycle Assessment, 24(11), 1985–2007. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11367-019-01627-5

Ulbrich, K., Settele, J., & Benedict, F. (2010). Biodiversity education, ICT, collaboration and ESD: What have we learned? (pp. 139–149).

Ung, N., & Grainger, R. (2022). Digital Technologies in Rheumatology: New Tools, New Skills, and New Care. Indian Journal of Rheumatology, 17(Suppl 3). https://journals.lww.com/ijru/fulltext/2022/17003/digital_technologies_in_rheumatology__new_tools,.3.aspx

Viedra, G. G. Z., & Sukojo, B. M. (2023). Analysis of The Effect of Deforestation Rates on Air Pollution Concentration and Land Surface Temperature Using Landsat-8 Imagery with Google Earth Engine (Case Study: East Kalimantan Province, 2019-2020). IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science, 1127(1), 12032. https://doi.org/10.1088/1755-1315/1127/1/012032

Vilhelmiina Harju, A. K., & Pehkonen, L. (2019). An exploration of longitudinal studies of digital learning. Educational Research, 61(4), 388–407. https://doi.org/10.1080/00131881.2019.1660586

Wagner, B. D., Grunwald, G. K., Zerbe, G. O., Mikulich-Gilbertson, S. K., Robertson, C. E., Zemanick, E. T., & Harris, J. K. (2018). On the Use of Diversity Measures in Longitudinal Sequencing Studies of Microbial Communities. Frontiers in Microbiology, 9. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2018.01037

Wang, B., & Li, P. (2022). Digital creativity in STEM education: the impact of digital tools and pedagogical learning models on the students' creative thinking skills development. Interactive Learning Environments, 0(0), 1–14. https://doi.org/10.1080/10494820.2022.2155839

Widjanarko, D., Khumaedi, M., & Kusumaningtyas, R. D. (2020). Continuous and integrated model of learning media and evaluation for vocational education application: A case in automotive biodiesel learning materials. Journal of Physics: Conference Series, 1456(1), 12041. https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/1456/1/012041

Williams, T., & Shepherd, D. (2015). Mix Method Social Network Analysis: Combining Inductive Concept Development, Content Analysis, and Secondary Data for Quantitative Analysis. Organizational Research Methods, 20. https://doi.org/10.1177/1094428115610807

Yli-Panula, E., Jeronen, E., Lemmetty, P., & Pauna, A. (2018a). Teaching Methods in Biology Promoting Biodiversity Education. Sustainability, 10(10). https://doi.org/10.3390/su10103812

Yli-Panula, E., Jeronen, E., Lemmetty, P., & Pauna, A. (2018b). Teaching Methods in Biology Promoting Biodiversity Education. Sustainability, 10(10). https://doi.org/10.3390/su10103812

Yli-Panula, E., Jeronen, E., Lemmetty, P., & Pauna, A. (2018c). Teaching Methods in Biology Promoting Biodiversity Education. Sustainability, 10(10). https://doi.org/10.3390/su10103812

Zhang, C., Khan, I., Dagar, V., Saeed, A., & Zafar, M. W. (2022). Environmental impact of information and communication technology: Unveiling the role of education in developing countries. Technological Forecasting and Social Change, 178, 121570. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techfore.2022.121570

Zhang, Y., Li, Z., Ge, W., Wang, J., Guo, X., Wang, T., & Li, W. (2022). Assessment of the impact of floods on terrestrial plant biodiversity. Journal of Cleaner Production, 339, 130722. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2022.130722

Zhang Yi and Huang, Z. (2023). A Board Game Hootopia: Biodiversity Education Through Tangible and Interactive Narrative. In J. T. Holloway-Attaway Lissa and Murray (Ed.), Interactive Storytelling (pp. 410–421). Springer Nature Switzerland.